

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/791,124 | 07/08/2004 | Anthony Gerome Edwards | | 2671 |
| ANTHONY EI | 7590 11/19/200 DWARDS | EXAMINER | | |
| 13700 TAHITI WAY #153 MARINA DEL REY, CA 90292 | | | WEAVER, SUE A | |
| MARINA DEL | REY, CA 90292 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3781 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/19/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| , | 10/791,124 | EDWARDS, ANTHONY GEROME | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Sue A. Weaver | 3781 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 September 2007</u>. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-13 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: | Pate | | | |

Art Unit: 3781

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the adhesive of claims 3-6, 8 and 16-18 and the covering of claims 4-6 and 17 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Page 2

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or

Art Unit: 3781

remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Page 3

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

- 2. Newly submitted claim14 and 15 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 3-13 and 16-18, drawn to an article of manufacture involving luggage, classified in class 190, subclass 18A.

II. Claims 14 and 15, drawn to a method of assembly for luggage, classified in class 29, subclass to be determined.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

4. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product can be sued in a materially different process. For example the sheet with the image does not require protective covers on both sides and could be assemble by first putting the image on the sheet and the placing the sheet on the wheel instead of the manner claimed in claim 14.

Applicant is further cautioned that there isn't any support for the method as claimed in claim 14 nor is it shown or reflected in the drawings.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 14 and 15 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3781

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 3-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miles et al '959, of record in view of Connell '502.

Miles teaches applicant's concept of providing a cover on the external surface of a wheel of wheel luggage. To have provided the cover with adhesive on both surfaces of the sheet with covers to protect the adhesive would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Connell in column 3.

7. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen '732.

Chen teaches applicant's concept of providing a flap on the upper front of wheel luggage to provide ease of access into the interior. Note the zipper on the flap as shown in Figure 2A.

8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Grebenstein '920.

To have merely provided the flap with an external zippered pocket for holding additional items in the manner of Grebenstein at 15 would have been most obvious.

- 9. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the reference as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Miles et al '959 and Connell '502 in the manner applied to claims 1-6 above.
- 10. Claims 7,9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 6, 8 and 18 above, and further in view of Defreitas '376.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,124 Page 6

Art Unit: 3781

To have formed the picture as a photograph would have been obvious in view of such teaching by Defreitas

- 11. Applicant's arguments, see page 6 of the amendment, filed 9/7/07, with respect to the objection to the abstract have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the abstract has been withdrawn.
- 12. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-13 and 16-19* have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Claims 1 and 2 have been canceled and 14 and 15 withdrawn from consideration.
- 13. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

| on (Date) | |
|---|--|
| Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: | |
| Signature: | |

Page 7

Art Unit: 3781

| | Registration Number: | |
|--------|---|-----------------------|
| | Certificate of Transmission | |
| | I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Uni Trademark Office, Fax No. () onon (Date) | ted States Patent and |
| | Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate: | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | Signature: | |
| | Registration Number: | |
| facsin | Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations imile transmissions and mailing, respectively. | concerning |
| | | |

SW

SUE A. WEAVER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3200